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Abstract

Serverless computing and hardware disaggregation are recent movements that have progressed largely independently, even though they share a common goal: to shape the future of cloud computing. Serverless computing is a software layer that simplifies cloud programming, even without changes to the underlying hardware, whereas hardware disaggregation challenges the established approach to data center architecture, breaking up traditional server units into their individual components and rewiring them in alternative ways. While a superficial similarity is apparent, the two movements are synergistic in fundamental technical ways. Cross-pollination of ideas promises advances on both sides, and co-design could be essential and rewarding in the long run.

1. Introduction

Serverless computing arose to meet demand from application developers for a simplified cloud programming model [1]. It provides a general-purpose computing abstraction, cloud functions, that lets programmers deploy code written in a high-level language, specify events as execution triggers, and pay only for actual resource consumption, typically CPU and memory metered in 100 ms increments. The cloud provider ensures elastic scaling, provisioning resources as needed by drawing from pools shared across many customers. Serverless computing complements cloud functions with stateful services, such as object storage, that similarly bill according to consumption (e.g., space used \times time) rather than according to allocation. The core problem that serverless computing fixes, the thing that makes traditional cloud programming difficult, is the mismatch between the granularity of resources such as VMs and disks, and the natural granularity of the application software and workloads.

Today one can argue, with good reason, that the term “serverless computing” is a misnomer as the underlying hardware infrastructure remains traditional servers. Hardware disaggregation seems to answer this objection, presenting a vision of repackaging the components of servers, breaking down the traditional boundaries and offering shared resource pools of memory, disks, and accelerators, all linked through a high-performance interconnect [2, 3]. It also provides the flexibility to deploy the right mix of resources and to evolve this mix over time to meet shifts in demand. Figure 1 compares the architecture of a serverless application to the architecture of a data center with disaggregated hardware.
request in a modern cloud application—the resulting constellation makes it abundantly clear that today’s cloud applications already are disaggregated, with serverless applications exhibiting especially great dispersion and high intricacy. The greatest fears and objections to hardware disaggregation, how to program for it and how its performance profile impacts applications, now appear unfounded. Programmers are already writing their applications to use fine-grained, distributed resources, and advances in disaggregated hardware will only improve performance and usability.

In our vision, the future cloud data center combines hardware disaggregation with serverless computing, creating a co-designed system that we call the serverless data center. While this may take time to emerge, there are immediate opportunities for ideas from hardware disaggregation to inform the progression of serverless computing, as well as the other way around. For example, serverless platform developers can have confidence that access to shared remote data will get much faster, whereas hardware developers can use the isolation models of serverless computing as guidance when developing security primitives.

In Section 2, we explain how logical and physical disaggregation are both fundamental consequences of the advantages serverless computing provides, and expand on the synergy with hardware disaggregation. Section 3 surveys opportunities for cross-pollinating research, and Section 4 describes our approach to serverless hardware/software co-design. We summarize key takeaways in Section 5.

2. Serverless Computing and Disaggregation

2.1. Serverless Computing

Serverless computing is a rapidly developing field seeing both improvements in commercial offerings and new academic proposals [7–9]. The defining characteristics of serverless platforms are elasticity and pay-per-use (see Figure 2), characteristics that lead inevitably to scheduling resources in disparate parts of the data center. To see why this is, consider the challenge of providing the sort of rapid scaling possible in mature serverless environments such as AWS Lambda or Google App Engine, which can provide hundreds or even thousands of cores within seconds, and can reclaim them quickly when idle. While it is possible to schedule 100 cores within a server, or 1,000 cores within a rack, it is much faster and more efficient to find available resources when drawing from the data center as a whole, or from a large portion of it. Adding more dimensions to the resource allocation problem, e.g., specifying varying amounts of memory along with compute, poses an even greater bin-packing challenge and drives further physical distribution. Not all serverless workloads require thousands of compute nodes, but efficient resource utilization requires that resource allocations track demand with high fidelity. Again, finding available resources gets easier, and faster, when the pool is larger.

Figure 2: Serverless computing users pay for the resources consumed by their workload (red area). Traditional cloud VM users pay for resource capacity, and since autoscaling techniques can be slow to respond they must reserve and pay for extra capacity in anticipation of load spikes (blue line).

While trends toward application distribution (via logical disaggregation) have been underway for some time, so long as cloud providers offered only a VM-based computing model, hardware disaggregation risked a mismatch to server-oriented customer expectations. Serverless computing changes this calculation dramatically. By decomposing an application into cloud functions, programmers already think about maintaining locality where it matters, as well as hiding latency where it could hurt (e.g., by using batching or parallel dispatch). Also, because programmers can define new serverless functions much more easily than they can create server-based services, they often break down their applications in a very fine-grained way.

We summarize how serverless computing supports hardware disaggregation and the future serverless data center as follows:

• Furthering the logical disaggregation of cloud applications as a consequence of providing elasticity and efficient multi-tenant resource sharing.
• Encouraging the fine-grained decomposition of applications by making it easy to deploy collections of cloud functions.
• Raising the level of abstraction of cloud programming, removing the expectation of servers and giving the cloud provider more latitude for far-reaching redesign.
• Providing an evolutionary path for software, one compatible with current data center hardware and able to take advantage of future hardware.

2.2. Disaggregated Hardware

Independently of the emergence of serverless cloud computing, researchers from the architecture and operating system communities have proposed the concept of hardware disaggregation [2,3,10–14]. With hardware disaggregation, each resource type (including compute, memory, and storage) becomes a first-class citizen over the network, either within a rack or across the data center. In this paper, we will focus on a hierarchical model of disaggregation where resources are accessible across the data center, with potentially varying
performance based on locality. This model is enabled today by the convergence of off-package memory and network bandwidth trends [15, 16]. New network [17, 18] and memory [19] technologies, coupled with novel hardware-accelerated interfaces [20–23], will make it even more compelling.

The case for disaggregated hardware shares some motivation with serverless computing: resource utilization in the data center can be very low due to over-provisioning and inflexible allocations [24], and the optimal hardware mix must evolve over time to meet changing workloads [25–27].

While serverless computing makes a transition to hardware disaggregation natural, it also will benefit more from advances in disaggregation than does software designed for serverful computing. Among other things, the tightly-coupled networks and rich interface semantics provided by disaggregated hardware will alleviate the network interface bottleneck experienced by today’s serverless applications [28].

2.3. Shared Limitations

Serverless computing is a boon to an increasing number of applications, but there are many applications that today are better served by traditional VMs [1,28]. In some cases disaggregated hardware can help, but when limitations encountered are fundamental consequences of locality both serverless computing and disaggregation may struggle with the workload.

In big data processing, operations such as broadcast and shuffle involve more network operations when compute resources are widely distributed than when they are co-located, as co-location allows combining data before sending or sharing one copy after receiving. Disaggregated hardware can make communication much cheaper, but does not eliminate locality effects or the memory hierarchy. Perhaps the traditional server-based locality unit can be relaxed, but placement decisions are called for at some level of scale.

Coordination-intensive applications such as OLTP databases also are difficult to provide on top of today’s cloud functions, which lack shared memory and limit communication in ways that preclude use of traditional distributed systems algorithms. Some of these constraints may be inherent to elastic resource provisioning, suggesting that disaggregated hardware might be of little help. When cloud functions run into limitations, serverless computing complements them with application-specific services, also known as Backend as a Service (BaaS), built using traditional server primitives [1]. In the case of databases, significant gains can be realized from specialized servers [29].

This suggests that a data center built with disaggregated hardware should also include a certain amount of traditional server hardware since applications will commonly have some units that benefit from tight-coupling of resources at the server scale. Future serverless computing abstractions might hide such details from programmers.

One challenge of disaggregation that may be instructive for serverless practitioners is the difficulty of microsecond-level latencies [30]. For small remote transfers, access latency can dominate completion time, potentially leading to stranded resources that cannot easily be scheduled for other tasks.

3. Research Synergies

The serverless computing perspective suggests concrete ways to inform disaggregated hardware research, and vice versa.

3.1. Compute Instance Launch

Startup times are a critical performance measure for cloud functions [31]. For example, they are especially important in machine learning serving when a framework provides inference on demand over a range of pre-trained models [32,33]. Elasticity is provided by instantiating many replicas of a model and scaling their number in response to demand, so the time required to prepare replicas for serving determines tail latency behavior. Slow startup times may require over-provisioning of replicas and can make certain latency targets unattainable. With disaggregated hardware, pre-initialized models could reside in shared remote memory. Cache-like interfaces to remote memory (including paging or hardware-managed caches [34–38]) would enable near instant start times, followed by a brief performance penalty while the caches warm [39–42].

3.2. Communication and Ephemeral Data

Distributed big data processing frameworks [43, 44] often exchange large amounts of intermediate data between nodes of a computation graph. It has been common to materialize and store intermediates, both for fault tolerance and as a buffer to match upstream production and downstream consumption. Doing so can mean copying large data sets several times, first to a storage service, then to the consumer. The serverless data center is a natural fit for this scenario; disaggregated memory and storage allow intermediate data to be sent simply by passing a reference, an approach that benefits from the duality of storage and communication [45]. As an added benefit, senders can save their output and terminate, resuming only after other input required for further steps becomes available. Work in this area also includes Pocket [46], which focuses on scalability and fast metadata access for ephemeral data.

3.3. Persistent Data

The persistent storage options presently available to cloud functions have disappointing performance and cost characteristics, especially when compared to block storage available on VMs [1]. The desired solution would provide shared storage backed by multi-tenant resource pools, with caching, buffering, cost, and performance characteristics similar to those of local file systems (e.g., as proposed by LegoOS [7]). Here shared remote memory and a diversity of network-attached storage devices can provide a benefit. Research on high-performance tiered key-value storage [47] represents progress in this area.
3.4. Heterogenous Compute

Machine learning pipelines often require a mix of different compute platforms (e.g. CPUs, GPUs, TPUs). This accelerator landscape is evolving quickly, leading to deployment challenges. Disaggregated hardware allows flexible acquisition and allocation of compute resources, and the serverless programming model provides an abstraction that makes the necessary application decomposition natural. Google’s TPU pods and Microsoft’s Brainwave project demonstrate the power of network-attached accelerators [25, 48], and might work well in a serverless data center context.

3.5. Cloud Infrastructure

The multi-tenant nature of public clouds requires sophisticated management, which becomes subject to stringent performance demands and much more activity with serverless computing. Virtualization of cloud infrastructure has already benefited from hardware acceleration (e.g. the Amazon Nitro system [49]). Additional support will be needed to enforce fairness as more resources are shared. Similarly, security concerns permeate every part of the cloud and secure access to resources will need to be provided with low overhead. Encryption is one aspect, but so are authentication and key management (secure enclaves and trusted platform modules represent a start in this direction [50, 51]). Finally, cloud networks are heavily virtualized to provide secure and easy-to-use semantics to users [52]. Emerging networking technology may provide new options for enforcing these rules. For example, photonic circuit switching will create low-overhead paths between nodes in a virtual network and allow sub-microsecond reconfiguration times [53].

3.6. Coordination

Cloud functions create a problem that they are surprisingly ill-suited to resolve: they break up an application and induce logical disaggregation, but their limitations preclude running the distributed systems algorithms needed to coordinate among these pieces [28] (as described in Section 2, they are short-lived and do not accept inbound network connections). While other serverless computing models such as “cloud actors” might mitigate these problems, the increased need for coordination among distributed bits of applications may demand hardware solutions. These might build on prior research on network [54–56] and storage-based [57] coordination mechanisms.

3.7. Fault Tolerance

As described in Section 2, serverless applications can involve hundreds or thousands of independent nodes in a data center. This distributed setting makes fault tolerance, or at least fault visibility, critical. One approach is to allow applications to define fate-sharing rules which are then enforced by the network [58], which is an idea that serverless frameworks might incorporate. Many other opportunities exist in memory and storage disaggregation to provide flexible failure semantics to the user (e.g. transparent erasure coding).

4. Designing the Serverless Data Center

While many of the research directions proposed in Section 3 can be explored in isolation, we believe that hardware/software co-design has an important role to play in shaping future serverless data centers. Doing this requires the ability to make simultaneous changes across multiple layers of a system stack, possibly touching hardware, networks, operating systems, cloud services, and user applications, all in the context of realistic workloads. While prior work has shown that significant progress can be made using standard server hardware in a disaggregated fashion [7, 59], hardware simulation platforms will be needed to push designs further. FireSim and dist-gem5 can simulate distributed systems with a range of fidelity and simulation speed [60, 61]. FireSim, for example, uses FPGAs in the cloud to provide cycle-exact simulation of thousands of nodes, connected by a configurable network, at 100x-1000x slower than real time. Some serverless environments are available as open source [62–64], and can serve as research prototypes. Further development may be needed, e.g., to integrate state-of-the-art isolation techniques [65, 66] or improved scheduling algorithms. Combining serverless software frameworks with open simulation platforms will allow the serverless hardware and software communities to rapidly evaluate new networking technology, accelerators, or memory properties.

5. Conclusion

Serverless computing is emerging as an important paradigm that promises to shape the future of cloud computing. Driven by a desire to make cloud programming simpler, it provides abstractions that allow flexible, fine-grained, and elastic resource allocation. As a direct consequence of these aims, serverless applications are written in a logically disaggregated way and run in a physically distributed way, even in today’s data centers; they are ready for disaggregated hardware. Serverless computing provides a viable evolutionary path for data center hardware, one that frees designers to develop the right hardware for the workload without being constrained by traditional server-based expectations. The future serverless data center will emerge from exploiting the synergy between hardware disaggregation and serverless computing.
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